Brazil / Paraguay Borden

eclassified and Approved For Release 2012/09/24 : CIA-RDP08C01297R000400370019-0

EMBASSY

WAY 18 1964

OF THE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

OFFICIAL INFORMAL

UNCLASSIFIED

DRD-Brazil L-49

Dear Dr. Burt:

American Embassy Rio de Janeiro May 14, 1964

Rec'd L-103

STATUS OF FUNDS

The end of the fiscal year is approaching and I should report on the status of map funds. Here at Rio we have a balance of approximately \$35.00, taking into account obligations yet to be paid. Unless you anticipate sending any more procurement requests that would require payment, we should have enough to get by.

I would estimate the balance left in Argentina to be about \$100.00, unless Mr. Keppler spent some during his visit. I should say that in sending my transmittals from there I used first the rate of exchange of 130 pesos/dollar that had been given me by the General Services office only to discover later that payment was made at the rate of the day of payment, which fluctuated constantly. As a result, none of the figures used on the transmittals was exact, the dollar value always being too high.

BRAZILIAN/PARAGUAYAN BORDER MAPS

In answer to your request in Letter No. 103, the Diretoria do Serviço Geográfico do Exército does not have large-scale maps of the Brazilian/Paraguayan border. Neither does the CNG. The Comissão Brasileira Demarcedora de Limites, II Divisão does have large-scale strip maps (1:10,000, I believe) of parts of the boundary, but I understand that those are drafted on heavy opaque paper.

PR-D-189

Procurement request 189 seems strange to me. Could it be that the maps of Alagoas and Para states should be listed under CNG rather than Cia. de Eletricidade de Alagoas? Or is an entirely different map requested?

SÃO PAULO MAPS

I am enclosing a copy of correspondence from AmConsulateGeneral in Sao Paulo in answer to my inquiry about maps requested from the city. I am sorry, too, that the matter was not handled better and that money was wasted. This was a case where long-distance handling did not work out. Even so, the Prefeiture was rather stupid.

/ADMINISTRATIVE

Dr. Arthur L. Burt Coordinator for Maps Department of State (INR/M) Washington, D. C.

UNCLASSIFIED

- 2 -

<u>ADMINISTRATIVE</u>

During our packaging of the materials to be transmitted from Cordoba, Mr. Keppler and I discussed a matter that I have been wondering about. When transmitting sepia copies, as quite a few of mine are, I have indicated that one copy is sent. In reality, especially in the cases of city plans, highway maps, and topographic sheets, up to 8 sheets will be made eventually for distribution in Washington. For the records—monthly reports, for example—should I continue to indicate the sepia as one copy? Or should I indicate the distribution on the transmittal? Or does it matter? Another question is how you count these in your annual report on accessions.

MATERIALS

Would it be possible for you to send to me the publications of the Government Printing Office on the enclosed list?

Sincerely yours,

Donald R. Dyer Geographic Attache

Enclosures: copy of letter from AnConGen, São Paulo list of government publications requested